

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/875,415	GOLDSACK ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Joseph D. Torres	2133	

All Participants:

(1) Joseph D. Torres.

(2) Richard Berg.

Status of Application: Response to Non-Final

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 7 March 2005

Time: 1pm

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: N/A.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
N/A

Claims discussed:
1-23

Prior art documents discussed:
N/A

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

During a telephone conversation with Richard Berg on 3/7/2005 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 1-21 and 23.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)